



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,071	08/17/2006	Michel Cuenod	33588-US-PCT	1693
1095	7590	08/31/2010	EXAMINER	
NOVARTIS			SALMON, KATHERINE D	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 101/2				1634
EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080				
				MAIL DATE
				DELIVERY MODE
				08/31/2010 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/586,071	CUENOD ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
KATHERINE SALMON	1634	

All Participants:

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) John Prince.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2010

Time: Noon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Katherine Salmon/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Mr. Prince on 8/18/2010 to discuss the requirement for restriction mailed out on 4/06/2010. After a review of the requirement for restriction it was noted that the groupings of the claims separated method claims to the same type of expression (specifically protein expression was separated into two groups). Further, the elected group included both nucleic acid expression and protein expression, which were separated in other groups of the restriction. Another election was not able to be made timely and therefore a new requirement for restriction is set forth attached to the instant Interview Summary to allow the applicant time to consider the newly made restriction requirement. .